

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



In re Application of: Pinchera et al.

Examiner: Nancy L. ZHANG

Serial No.: 10/532,447

Art Unit: 1614

Filing Date: April 22, 2005

For: 3,5,3'- TRIIODOTHYRONINE SULFATE AS THYROMIMETIC AGENT AND PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATIONS THEREOF

Certificate of Mailing and/or Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)(1)(i)(A)(ii)

I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO (571) 273-8300, on the date indicated below:

1. requested statement as per November 2, 2007 Office action: 2pp;
2. clean copy of amendments to the specification: 6pp

Date: January 30, 2008

Signature: _____

Pamela Gewirtz
Pamela Gewirtz

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUESTED STATEMENT AS PER "DETAILED ACTION"

IN THE NOVEMBER 2, 2007 OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Examiner's specific request for a statement on a different sheet to state that no new matter has been added by the amendment to the Specification previously submitted on February 27, 2007 is submitted in response to the Office action mailed November 2, 2007. A response to the November 2, 2007 Office action is due February 2, 2008. Thus, this *Statement* is timely filed.

Examiner requested submission of a clean copy of said amendment to be included as part of the next communication to the Office. In compliance with Examiner's request, Applicant has attached a "clean copy of said amendment".

Applicant's prior amendment to the specification was in response to the November 29, 2006 restriction requirement which included objections to the Specification. Specifically, the word "unexpectedly" was used in numerous places of the specification, and was misspelled as "unespectedly". When preparing the response to overcome Examiner's objections to the specification, Applicant saw an additional misspelling in the specification. Specifically, the

word “**highlights**” was misspelled as “**highlits**”. Applicant prepared and filed the response to the November 29, 2006 Office action, serving to correct both the misspellings of “unexpectedly” and “highlits”. The prior amendment to the specification, and attached “clean copy of said amendment” pin points the location of the misspellings:

Unexpectedly

- 1) page 2, line 23 – 26
- 2) page 3, line 17 – page 4, line 6
- 3) page 5, line 15 – 20; and line 23 – 27
- 4) page 6, line 20 - 24

Highlits


- 1) page 4, line 18-21

Applicant wishes to reiterate the language in the REMARKS section of the *Amendment and Response* to the Office action mailed November 2, 2007: ***a clean copy of said amendments, along with this immediate sheet states that no new matter has been added by the attached amendment which is accompanying the instant response.*** The amendments served only to correct typographical errors, and not the substantive aspects of the instant invention.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 30, 2008


M. Caragh Noone, Reg. No. 37,197
Bracco Research USA Inc.
305 College Road East
Princeton, NJ 08540
Tel: (609) 514-2454
Fax: (609) 514-2446